

## Math 680 Fall 2017

### A Particular Infinite Product

Let  $\{p_i\}$  be the sequence of primes in ascending order:  $p_1 = 2$ ,  $p_2 = 3$ , etc... Note that  $p_{n+1} \geq 2 + p_n$  for all  $n > 1$ . In particular,  $p_n > n + 1$  for all  $n > 1$ .

For a complex number  $s$  we consider the infinite product

$$\begin{aligned} P(s) &:= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - p_n^{-s})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - p_n^{-s} + p_n^{-s}}{1 - p_n^{-s}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{p_n^{-s}}{1 - p_n^{-s}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p_n^s - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u_n(s) = (p_n^s - 1)^{-1}$ , we have

$$|u_n(s)| = \frac{1}{|p_n^s - 1|} \leq \frac{1}{|p_n^s| - 1}.$$

Write  $s = \sigma + it$  as before. Then

$$|p_n^s| = p_n^\sigma > (n+1)^\sigma > n^\sigma + 1$$

for all  $n > 1$ . In particular,  $|u_n(s)| < n^{-\sigma}$  for all  $n > 1$ . Thus, by a previous result the infinite product  $P(s)$  converges absolutely whenever  $\Re(s) > 1$ .

Denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial product by

$$P_n(s) := (1 - p_1^{-s})^{-1} \cdots (1 - p_n^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Recalling that  $\sum_{m \geq 0} x^m = (1 - x)^{-1}$  whenever  $|x| < 1$ , we see that

$$P_n(s) = \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_1^{-ms} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_n^{-ms} \right)$$

whenever  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Note that each factor here is absolutely convergent whenever  $\Re(s) > 1$ , in which case we may rearrange the product any way we deem useful. Set  $J_n$  to be the subset of positive integers  $m$  such that all prime factors of  $m$  are in the set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . We then have

$$P_n(s) = \sum_{m \in J_n} m^{-s}.$$

We clearly have (with  $\sigma = \Re(s)$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
|\zeta(s) - P_n(s)| &= \left| \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \notin J_n}} m^{-s} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \notin J_n}} m^{-\sigma} \\
&< \sum_{m \geq p_{n+1}} m^{-\sigma} \\
&\leq \sum_{m \geq n+2} m^{-\sigma},
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\zeta(s) - P_n(s)| = 0$$

for all  $s$  with  $\sigma > 1$ .

**Theorem** (Euler Product): For all  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma > 1$  we have

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

This product is absolutely convergent for such  $s$ . Further, for all  $\sigma_0 > 1$ , the product is uniformly and absolutely convergent on the set of  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ ,  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  on this set, and

$$\frac{-\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}.$$

Proof: By our results for infinite products, all that remains is to compute the term-wise derivative of the logarithm. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left( \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} \right) &= - \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \log(1 - p^{-s}) \\
&= \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-ms}}{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking derivatives term-wise yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} &= \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{dp^{-ms}/m}{ds} \\
&= - \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p^{-ms} \log p \\
&= - \sum_{\substack{n=p^m \\ p \text{ prime} \\ m \geq 1}} \frac{\log(p)}{n^s} \\
&= - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

We've seen previously that  $\zeta(s)$  is analytic on the right half-plane  $\Re(s) > 0$  with the sole exception of a simple pole at  $s = 1$  where the residue is 1. This implies that  $\zeta(s) - (s - 1)^{-1}$  is analytic on the right half-plane, which in turn shows that  $\zeta'(s) + (s - 1)^{-2}$  is analytic there. These two facts combine to show that the quotient  $-\zeta'(s)/\zeta(s) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s \rightarrow 1$ . Now via the Euler product we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $\sigma \rightarrow 1^+$ . With this in hand, it is rather easy to see that

$$\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{\log p}{p^\sigma} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $\sigma \rightarrow 1^+$ , trivially implying that there are infinitely many primes. This argument may seem ridiculously convoluted, but it captures some core ideas. In particular, we will use a similar argument to prove Dirichlet's theorem on primes in an arithmetic progression: for any  $m > 1$  and  $a$  relatively prime to  $m$ ,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1^+} \sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} \frac{\log p}{p^\sigma} = \infty,$$

so that there are infinitely many primes  $p$  in the arithmetic progression  $a$  modulo  $m$ .