

June 5, 2001

Some Multi-Set Inclusions Associated with Shuffle Convolutions and Multiple Zeta Values

Douglas Bowman `bowman@math.uiuc.edu`

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Department of Mathematics,
273 Altgeld Hall, 1409 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801 U.S.A.

David M. Bradley `bradley@gauss.umemat.maine.edu`

University of Maine, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
5752 Neville Hall, Orono, ME 04469-5752 U.S.A.

<http://www.umemat.maine.edu/faculty/bradley/index.html>

Ji Hoon Ryoo

University of Maine, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
5752 Neville Hall, Orono, ME 04469-5752 U.S.A.

Abstract. We outline a new technique for proving certain shuffle convolution formulae. As an application, we give a new combinatorial proof of the formula $\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) = 2\pi^{4n}/(4n + 2)!$ for multiple zeta values.

1 Shuffles

As in [5, 6, 13] let X be a finite set and let X^* denote the free monoid generated by X . We regard X as an alphabet, and the elements of X^* as words formed by concatenating any finite number of letters (repetitions permitted) from the alphabet X . By linearly extending the concatenation product to the set $\mathbf{Q}\langle X \rangle$ of rational linear combinations of elements of X^* , we obtain a non-commutative polynomial ring with the elements of X being indeterminates and with multiplicative identity 1 denoting the empty word.

The shuffle product may be defined on words by the recursion

$$\begin{cases} \forall w \in X^*, & 1 \sqcup w = w \sqcup 1 = w, \\ \forall a, b \in X, \quad \forall u, v \in X^*, & au \sqcup bv = a(u \sqcup bv) + b(au \sqcup v), \end{cases}$$

and then extended linearly to $\mathbf{Q}\langle X \rangle$. One checks that the shuffle product so defined is associative and commutative, and thus $\mathbf{Q}\langle X \rangle$ equipped with the shuffle product becomes a commutative \mathbf{Q} -algebra, denoted $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{Q}}[X]$. Radford [14] has shown that $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{Q}}[X]$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{Q}[L]$ obtained by adjoining the transcendence basis L of Lyndon words to the field \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers. The study of shuffles was initiated by Chen [8, 9] and subsequently formalized by Ree [15]. Interest in shuffles has revived due to the intimate connection with multiple zeta values [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 16] and multiple polylogarithms [2, 6, 10, 17].

In what follows, if X is an alphabet and $u, v \in X^*$, we'll denote by $\{u \sqcup v\}$ the multi-set of words appearing (with multiplicity) in the expansion of $u \sqcup v$. For example, suppose $X = \{a, b\}$. Since $ab \sqcup ab = 4aabb + 2abab$, we have

$$\{ab \sqcup ab\} = \{abab, abab, aabb, aabb, aabb, aabb\},$$

which, as a multi-set, *properly* contains $\{abab, aabb\}$.

Theorem 1 *Let r be a positive integer, let X be an alphabet, and let $a_1, a_2, \dots \in X$ be such that $a_{r+k} = a_k$ for all positive integers k . Fix a positive integer n , and define multi-sets*

$$S_k = \{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{kr} \sqcup a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{(2n-k)r}\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2n.$$

Then $S_{k-1} \subseteq S_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $S_{k+1} \subseteq S_k$ for $k = n, n+1, \dots, 2n-1$.

2 Consequences

Before proving Theorem 1, we make some observations. First, observe that Theorem 1 generalizes the unimodality of the binomial coefficients. More specifically, we have the following:

Corollary 1 *Let n and r be positive integers. The finite sequence b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2n} defined by*

$$b_k = \binom{2nr}{kr}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2n,$$

is unimodal.

Proof. Note that the cardinality of the multi-set S_k in Theorem 1 is equal to b_k . □

More interestingly, Theorem 1 implies a non-trivial shuffle convolution formula which has been shown [3] to imply the formula

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) := \zeta(\underbrace{3, 1, \dots, 3, 1}_{2n \text{ arguments}}) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!}, \quad 0 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (1)$$

for the multiple zeta function defined by

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \prod_{j=1}^k n_j^{-s_j}.$$

Corollary 2 *Let n be a positive integer, and let $\{a, b\}$ be an alphabet. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^{n+k} [(ab)^k \sqcup (ab)^{2n-k}] = (4a^2b^2)^n. \quad (2)$$

Proof. In Theorem 1, let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $r = 2$. In view of the multi-set inclusions indicated by Theorem 1, there must be

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^{n+k} |S_k| = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^{n+k} \binom{4n}{2k} = 4^n$$

terms on each side of (2), counting multiplicity. Furthermore, the word $(a^2b^2)^n$ occurs 4^n times in S_n , since each a and each b can take two positions. Since $(a^2b^2)^n$ cannot occur in S_k for $k \neq n$, (2) follows immediately. \square

Corollary 3 *The formula (1) holds, i.e. if n is a positive integer, then*

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!}.$$

Proof. The stated formula follows from (2) and the iterated integral representation for multiple zeta values. See [3] for details. \square

3 Proving the Multi-Set Inclusions

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $S_k = S_{2n-k}$, it suffices to prove that $S_{k-1} \subseteq S_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We shall first consider the case when $k = 1$. Observe that

$$S_1 = \{a_1 \cdots a_r \sqcup a_1 \cdots a_{(2n-1)r}\}$$

and that $S_0 = \{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2nr}\}$. Since periodicity implies

$$a_1 \cdots a_{2nr} = a_1 \cdots a_r a_{r+1} \cdots a_{2nr} = a_1 \cdots a_r a_1 \cdots a_{(2n-1)r} \in S_1$$

it follows that $S_0 \subseteq S_1$, and so we may assume henceforth that $2 \leq k \leq n$.

To help clarify the formation of words in the multi-sets S_k , for $0 \leq k \leq n$, let

$$S_k = \{a_1 \cdots a_{kr} \sqcup A_1 \cdots A_{(2n-k)r}\},$$

where $A_j = a_j$ for each positive integer j . Now consider a word

$$w \in S_{k-1} = \{a_1 \cdots a_{(k-1)r} \sqcup A_1 \cdots A_{(2n-k+1)r}\}.$$

If a_1 follows A_r in w , then

$$\begin{aligned} w &\in A_1 \cdots A_r \{a_1 \cdots a_{(k-1)r} \sqcup A_{r+1} \cdots A_{(2n-k+1)r}\} \\ &= a_1 \cdots a_r \{a_{r+1} \cdots a_{kr} \sqcup A_1 \cdots A_{(2n-k)r}\} \\ &\subseteq S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since we could conceivably have made a different choice in replacing certain of the A_j by a_j , it follows that the multiplicity of w in S_k is no less than the multiplicity of w in S_{k-1} in this case. Therefore, we may assume a_1 precedes A_r in w . If, in addition, a_2 follows A_{r+1} in w , then

$$\begin{aligned} w &\in \{A_1 \cdots A_{r-1} \sqcup a_1\} A_r A_{r+1} \{a_2 \cdots a_{(k-1)r} \sqcup A_{r+2} \cdots A_{(2n-k+1)r}\} \\ &= \{a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} \sqcup A_1\} a_r a_{r+1} \{a_{r+2} \cdots a_{kr} \sqcup A_2 \cdots A_{(2n-k)r}\} \\ &\subseteq S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may assume a_2 precedes A_{r+1} in w .

In general, given that a_p precedes A_{r+p-1} in w , we note that if a_{p+1} follows A_{r+p} , then w lies in the multi-set

$$\begin{aligned} &\{A_1 \cdots A_{r+p-2} \sqcup a_1 \cdots a_p\} A_{r+p-1} A_{r+p} \{a_{p+1} \cdots a_{(k-1)r} \sqcup A_{r+p+1} \cdots A_{(2n-k+1)r}\} \\ &= \{a_1 \cdots a_{r+p-2} \sqcup A_1 \cdots A_p\} a_{r+p-1} a_{r+p} \{a_{r+p+1} \cdots a_{kr} \sqcup A_{p+1} \cdots A_{(2n-k)r}\} \\ &\subseteq S_k. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we may therefore assume that $a_{(k-1)r}$ precedes A_{kr-1} in w , in which case w must lie in the multi-set

$$\begin{aligned} &\{a_1 \cdots a_{(k-1)r} \sqcup A_1 \cdots A_{kr-2}\} A_{kr-1} \cdots A_{(2n-k+1)r} \\ &= \{A_1 \cdots A_{(k-1)r} \sqcup a_1 \cdots a_{kr-2}\} a_{kr-1} a_{kr} A_{kr+1} \cdots A_{(2n-k+1)r} \\ &= \{A_1 \cdots A_{(k-1)r} \sqcup a_1 \cdots a_{kr-2}\} a_{kr-1} a_{kr} A_{(k-1)r+1} \cdots A_{(2n-k)r} \\ &\subseteq S_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Other shuffle convolution formulæ can be established in a similar manner. For example, if $\{a, b\}$ is an alphabet and n is a positive integer, then

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^{n+k} [(ab)^k \sqcup (ba)^{2n-k}] = (4abba)^n + (4baab)^n.$$

References

- [1] Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley and David J. Broadhurst, Evaluations of k -fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary k , *Elec. J. Comb.*, **4** (1997), no. 2, #R5.
- [2] Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley, David J. Broadhurst and Petr Lisoněk, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2000), no. 3, 907–941.
- [3] Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley, David J. Broadhurst and Petr Lisoněk, Combinatorial aspects of multiple zeta values, *Elec. J. Comb.*, **5** (1998), no. 1, #R38.
- [4] Douglas Bowman and David M. Bradley, Resolution of some open problems concerning multiple zeta evaluations of arbitrary depth, submitted October 1999.
- [5] Douglas Bowman and David M. Bradley, The algebra and combinatorics of shuffles and multiple zeta values, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, to appear.
- [6] Douglas Bowman and David M. Bradley, Multiple polylogarithms: a brief survey, in “Proceedings of a Conference on q -series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory and Physics,” American Mathematical Society, Contemporary Mathematics Series, to appear.
- [7] David J. Broadhurst and Dirk Kreimer, Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops, *Phys. Lett. B*, **393** (1997), no. 3–4, 403–412.
- [8] Kuo-Tsai Chen, Iterated integrals and exponential homomorphisms, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3) **4** (1954), 502–512.
- [9] Kuo-Tsai Chen, Integration of paths, geometric invariants and a generalized Baker-Hausdorff formula, *Ann. of Math.*, **65** (1957), no. 1, 163–178.
- [10] Alexander B. Goncharov, Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy and modular complexes, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **5** (1998), no. 4, 497–516.
- [11] Michael E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152** (1993), no. 2, 275–290.
- [12] Michael E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra*, **194** (1997), 477–495.
- [13] Hoang Ngoc Minh and Michel Petitot, “Lyndon words, polylogarithms and the Riemann ζ function,” *Discrete Mathematics*, **217**(1-3) (2000), 273–292.
- [14] David E. Radford, *A natural ring basis for the shuffle algebra and an application to group schemes*, *J. Algebra*, **58** (1979), 432–454.

- [15] Rimhak Ree, Lie elements and an algebra associated with shuffles, *Ann. of Math.*, **62** (1958), no. 2, 210–220.
- [16] Michel Waldschmidt, Valeurs zêta multiples: une introduction, *J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, **12** (2000), 581–595.
- [17] Michel Waldschmidt, Introduction to polylogarithms, in “Proceedings of the Chandigarh International Conference on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics in Honour of Srinivasa Ramanujan, to appear.