

### Some Solutions for Week 7 Homework

13. a) Suppose  $\tau$  is the  $k$ -cycle  $(1, 2, \dots, k)$  and  $\sigma$  is an element of  $S_n$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(i)) = i$  since  $\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma$  is the identity function.

If  $i < k$ , then  $\tau(i) = i + 1$ , so that

$$\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(i)) = \sigma(\tau(i)) = \sigma(i + 1).$$

If  $i = k$ , then  $\tau(i) = \tau(k) = 1$ , so that

$$\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(k)) = \sigma(\tau(k)) = \sigma(1).$$

Finally, if  $i > k$ , then  $\tau(i) = i$ , so that

$$\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(i)) = \sigma(\tau(i)) = \sigma(i).$$

Since  $\sigma$  is necessarily onto,  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n)\}$ . Thus, we have shown what the composition  $\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}$  does to each of the integers 1 through  $n$ . This shows that

$$\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(k)).$$

Editorial comment: You weren't supposed to assume that  $k = n$  for this exercise.

b) Let  $\rho$  be a  $k$ -cycle:  $\rho = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ . Then the  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  are distinct elements of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus, we can order the remaining  $n - k$  elements any way we like. Choose such an ordering, so that

$$\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

and let  $\sigma$  be the permutation defined by  $\sigma(j) = i_j$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . According to part a) above,

$$\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(k)) = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = \rho.$$

15. For  $\alpha, \beta \in S_n$ , say  $\alpha \sim \beta$  if  $\beta = \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}$  for some  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $S_n$ . If  $\sigma$  is the identity function, then  $\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} = \alpha$ . So  $\sim$  is reflexive.

Suppose  $\alpha \sim \beta$ . Then  $\beta = \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}$  for some  $\sigma \in S_n$ . From this, we get

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta \circ \sigma = \sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma = \alpha.$$

(Here I've used associativity of composition, the definition of inverse, and the fact that composing with the identity function leaves the original function unchanged.) We may rewrite this as

$$(\sigma^{-1}) \circ \beta \circ (\sigma^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha,$$

so  $\beta \sim \alpha$ .

Suppose  $\alpha \sim \beta$  and  $\beta \sim \gamma$ . Then  $\beta = \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}$  and  $\gamma = \tau \circ \beta \circ \tau^{-1}$  for some  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ . This implies that

$$\gamma = \tau \circ \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \tau^{-1} = (\tau \circ \sigma) \circ \alpha \circ (\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1},$$

so that  $\alpha \sim \gamma$ .

Editorial comment: You'll note how I used

$$(\sigma^{-1})^{-1} = \sigma$$

and

$$(\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \circ \tau^{-1}$$

above. These two basic facts are not difficult to prove on your own. We'll see this again in the beginning of chapter 3.