A Generic Euclid’s Lemma

**Definition:** An element $p$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ is called a *unit* if $p|1$. An element $p$ is called *irreducible* if $p$ is not a unit and, whenever $a|p$, either $p|a$ or $a|1$ ($a$ is a unit).

Note that 0 is definitely not irreducible. Also, all prime integers are irreducible. In fact, the irreducible integers are exactly the primes and their negatives (since the only integers that divide 1 are $\pm 1$.) The units of $\mathbb{Z}$ are just $\pm 1$. The units of $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ are the non-zero constants. Generally speaking, any time $p$ is irreducible, so is $u \cdot p$ for any unit $u$.

**Euclid’s Lemma:** Suppose $p$ is irreducible and $p|ab$. Then either $p|a$ or $p|b$.

**NOTE:** This version of Euclid’s Lemma is for *both* integers and polynomials. The proof is valid in either case, too!

**Proof:** It is not difficult to see that the set of linear combinations of $p$ and $a$ is an ideal; call it $I$. By a previous result (Theorem 1.1.4 for integers and the analogous result for polynomials), $I$ consists of all multiples of some $d$. Since $p \neq 0$, $d$ can’t be 0.

Since both $a$ and $p$ are in $I$, $d$ divides both $a$ and $p$. But $p$ is irreducible, so either $p|d$ or $d|1$.

Suppose first that $p|d$. Since $d|a$, exercise #7b from section 1.1 implies that $p|a$.

Now suppose that $d|1$ and write $1 = dc$. Since $d$ is in $I$, there are $x$ and $y$ such that $d = ax + py$.

Then

\[
1 = dc = (ax + py)c = axc + pyc \\
1b = (axc + pyc)b = abxc + pycb \\
b = axcb + pycb.
\]

Recall the original hypothesis that $p|ab$. By #7c, this implies that $p|ab(xc) + p(ycb)$. Thus, $p|b$. 
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