

On almost periodic causal factorizations

Ilya Krishtal

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Northern Illinois University

July 21, 2008

IWOTA 2008, Williamsburg, Virginia

Together with



Thomas Strohmer, UC Davis

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Operator “matrices” via Beurling spectra
 - Almost periodicity and causality
- 2 Results
- 3 Idea of proof
- 4 References

Different factorizations

- LU -factorization for infinite matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right)$$

- Wiener-Hopf factorizations:

$$\bigcirc = \bullet \cdot \bigcirc$$

Different factorizations

- LU -factorization for infinite matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right)$$

- Wiener-Hopf factorizations:

$$\bigcirc = \bullet \cdot \bigcirc$$

- Factorizations of weighted shifts:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} f_{\lambda}(t)x(t - \lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \sum_{\mu \leq 0} g_{\lambda}(t)h_{\mu}(t - \lambda)x(t - \lambda - \mu)$$

Different factorizations

- LU -factorization for infinite matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \\ \text{wavy} \end{array} \right)$$

- Wiener-Hopf factorizations:

$$\bigcirc = \bullet \cdot \bigcirc$$

- Factorizations of weighted shifts:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} f_{\lambda}(t)x(t - \lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \sum_{\mu \leq 0} g_{\lambda}(t)h_{\mu}(t - \lambda)x(t - \lambda - \mu)$$

What do these factorizations have in common?

Notation

- $X(H)$ -- complex Banach (Hilbert) space;

Notation

- X (H) -- complex Banach (Hilbert) space;
- \mathcal{B} – unital Banach algebra, such as $B(X)$;

Notation

- X (H) -- complex Banach (Hilbert) space;
- \mathcal{B} – unital Banach algebra, such as $B(X)$;
- $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(\mathcal{B})$ – isometric (strongly continuous) representation, $\mathcal{T}(t)(AB) = (\mathcal{T}(t)A)(\mathcal{T}(t)B)$;

Notation

- X (H) -- complex Banach (Hilbert) space;
- \mathcal{B} – unital Banach algebra, such as $B(X)$;
- $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(\mathcal{B})$ – isometric (strongly continuous) representation, $\mathcal{T}(t)(AB) = (\mathcal{T}(t)A)(\mathcal{T}(t)B)$;
- $fA = \mathcal{T}(f)A = \int f(t)\mathcal{T}(-t)A dt$ -- $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -module structure;

Notation

- X (H) -- complex Banach (Hilbert) space;
- \mathcal{B} – unital Banach algebra, such as $B(X)$;
- $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(\mathcal{B})$ – isometric (strongly continuous) representation, $\mathcal{T}(t)(AB) = (\mathcal{T}(t)A)(\mathcal{T}(t)B)$;
- $fA = \mathcal{T}(f)A = \int f(t)\mathcal{T}(-t)A dt$ -- $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -module structure;

Definition (Beurling Spectrum)

$$\Lambda(A, \mathcal{T}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d : fA = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0\}$$

Notation

- X (H) -- complex Banach (Hilbert) space;
- \mathcal{B} – unital Banach algebra, such as $B(X)$;
- $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(\mathcal{B})$ – isometric (strongly continuous) representation, $\mathcal{T}(t)(AB) = (\mathcal{T}(t)A)(\mathcal{T}(t)B)$;
- $fA = \mathcal{T}(f)A = \int f(t)\mathcal{T}(-t)A dt$ -- $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -module structure;

Definition (Beurling Spectrum)

$$\Lambda(A, \mathcal{T}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d : fA = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0\}$$

For different choices of \mathcal{T} and f with concentrated $\text{supp } \hat{f}$, fA serves as the right substitute for a matrix entry or diagonal.

Examples of representations

$$\mathcal{T}(t)A = T(t)AT(-t), \quad T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(X).$$

- Modulation $T = M$: $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi ist}x(s)$,
 $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } x$;

Examples of representations

$$\mathcal{T}(t)A = T(t)AT(-t), \quad T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(X).$$

- Modulation $T = M$: $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i s t} x(s)$,
 $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } x$;
- Translation $T = S$: $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$, $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } \hat{x}$;

Examples of representations

$$\mathcal{T}(t)A = T(t)AT(-t), \quad T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(X).$$

- Modulation $T = M$: $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i s t} x(s)$,
 $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } x$;
- Translation $T = S$: $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$, $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } \hat{x}$;
- Based on a resolution of the identity $T = U$:
 $U(t)x = \sum e^{2\pi i n t} E(n)x$, $\Lambda(x, U) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : E(n)x \neq 0\}$.

Examples of representations

$$\mathcal{T}(t)A = T(t)AT(-t), \quad T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow B(X).$$

- Modulation $T = M$: $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i s t} x(s)$,
 $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } x$;
- Translation $T = S$: $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$, $\Lambda(x, M) = \text{supp } \hat{x}$;
- Based on a resolution of the identity $T = U$:
 $U(t)x = \sum e^{2\pi i n t} E(n)x$, $\Lambda(x, U) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : E(n)x \neq 0\}$.

More specifically, “Fourier coefficients” of the function $\mathcal{T}(t)A$ serve as diagonals of A . In the last example this is precisely the case.

Almost periodicity

Definition

An element $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is *almost periodic with respect to the representation \mathcal{T}* , $A \in AP(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$, if the function $\mathcal{T}(t)A$ is (Bohr) almost periodic.

Almost periodicity

Definition

An element $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is *almost periodic with respect to the representation* \mathcal{T} , $A \in AP(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$, if the function $\mathcal{T}(t)A$ is (Bohr) almost periodic.

In particular, $\mathcal{T}(t)A \simeq \sum e^{2\pi i\lambda t} A_\lambda$, where the Fourier coefficients A_λ are the eigen-vectors of the representation \mathcal{T} .

Weighted shifts that appeared earlier are an example of AP Ψ DOs.

Causality

Definition

Let $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed semigroup such that $-\mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{S} = \{0\}$. An element $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is *causal with respect to the representation \mathcal{T} and semigroup \mathbb{S}* , $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{S})$, if $\Lambda(A, \mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}$. $A \in \mathcal{C}^*$ is *anticausal* if $\Lambda(A, \mathcal{T}) \subseteq -\mathbb{S}$. $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^*$ is the algebra of memoryless elements, $\mathcal{HC}(\mathcal{HC}^*)$ are ideals of hyper(anti)causal elements.

Causality

Definition

Let $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed semigroup such that $-\mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{S} = \{0\}$. An element $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is *causal with respect to the representation \mathcal{T} and semigroup \mathbb{S}* , $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{S})$, if $\Lambda(A, \mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}$. $A \in \mathcal{C}^*$ is *anticausal* if $\Lambda(A, \mathcal{T}) \subseteq -\mathbb{S}$. $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^*$ is the algebra of memoryless elements, $\mathcal{HC}(\mathcal{HC}^*)$ are ideals of hyper(anti)causal elements.

Lower triangular matrices and operators that do not shift support of functions to the left are standard examples.

A few more definitions

Definition (Causal factorization)

An invertible element $A \in \mathcal{B}$ admits a *canonical causal factorization* (with respect to \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S}), if $A = A_- A_+$, where $A_+, A_+^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$ and $A_-, A_-^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$.

A few more definitions

Definition (Causal factorization)

An invertible element $A \in \mathcal{B}$ admits a *canonical causal factorization* (with respect to \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S}), if $A = A_- A_+$, where $A_+, A_+^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$ and $A_-, A_-^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$.

Definition (Decomposing algebras)

An algebra \mathcal{B} is *decomposing* if $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}\mathcal{C}^*$. If \mathcal{P} is the corresponding projection onto \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{Q} = I - \mathcal{P}$ is the projection onto $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{C}^*$, we let $K(\mathcal{B}) = [\max\{\|\mathcal{P}\|, \|\mathcal{Q}\|\}]^{-1}$.

Factorization in decomposing algebras

Lemma (Follows from [GF71])

Assume that \mathcal{B} is a decomposing Banach algebra, $A \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\|A - I\| < K(\mathcal{B})$. Then A admits a canonical causal factorization $A = A_- A_+$. Moreover, in this case,

$$A_+^{-1} = I - \mathcal{P}M + \mathcal{P}[MPM] - \mathcal{P}[MP[MPM]] + \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

$$A_-^{-1} = I - \mathcal{Q}M + \mathcal{Q}[[QM]M] - \mathcal{Q}[Q[[QM]M]M] + \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M = A - I$ and the series converge absolutely.

Factorization in decomposing algebras

Lemma (Follows from [GF71])

Assume that \mathcal{B} is a decomposing Banach algebra, $A \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\|A - I\| < K(\mathcal{B})$. Then A admits a canonical causal factorization $A = A_- A_+$. Moreover, in this case,

$$A_+^{-1} = I - \mathcal{P}M + \mathcal{P}[MPM] - \mathcal{P}[MP[MPM]] + \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

$$A_-^{-1} = I - \mathcal{Q}M + \mathcal{Q}[[QM]M] - \mathcal{Q}[Q[[QM]M]M] + \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M = A - I$ and the series converge absolutely.

Proof.

Almost obvious. □

Baskakov Algebras

We want to weaken the condition $\|A - I\| < K(\mathcal{B})$ as in [GL72] in a special class of algebras. In this way we extend the “periodic” results of [GL72] to an AP setting.

Baskakov Algebras

We want to weaken the condition $\|A - I\| < K(\mathcal{B})$ as in [GL72] in a special class of algebras. In this way we extend the “periodic” results of [GL72] to an AP setting.

Definition

A Baskakov algebra $\mathcal{B}_\nu = AP_\nu(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ is a subalgebra of $AP(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ with the norm of $A = \sum A_\lambda$ given by

$$\|A\|_\nu = \sum \|A_\lambda\| \nu(\lambda),$$

where ν is a “nice” weight.

Baskakov Algebras

We want to weaken the condition $\|A - I\| < K(\mathcal{B})$ as in [GL72] in a special class of algebras. In this way we extend the “periodic” results of [GL72] to an AP setting.

Definition

A Baskakov algebra $\mathcal{B}_\nu = AP_\nu(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ is a subalgebra of $AP(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ with the norm of $A = \sum A_\lambda$ given by

$$\|A\|_\nu = \sum \|A_\lambda\| \nu(\lambda),$$

where ν is a “nice” weight.

A Baskakov algebra is clearly decomposing. It is also inverse closed [BK] if ν is an admissible weight.

Main result

Let $\mathcal{B} = B(H)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\nu = AP_\nu(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$.

Theorem

Assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ and $\|A - I\|_{B(H)} < 1$. Then A admits a canonical causal factorization $A = A_- A_+$. Moreover, in this case,

$$A_+^{-1} = I - \mathcal{P}M + \mathcal{P}[MPM] - \mathcal{P}[MP[MPM]] + \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

$$A_-^{-1} = I - \mathcal{Q}M + \mathcal{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}M]M] - \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}M]M]M] + \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

where $M = A - I$ and the series converge in \mathcal{B}_ν in norm.

Main result

Let $\mathcal{B} = B(H)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\nu = AP_\nu(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$.

Theorem

Assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ and $\|A - I\|_{B(H)} < 1$. Then A admits a canonical causal factorization $A = A_- A_+$. Moreover, in this case,

$$A_+^{-1} = I - \mathcal{P}M + \mathcal{P}[MPM] - \mathcal{P}[MP[MPM]] + \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

$$A_-^{-1} = I - \mathcal{Q}M + \mathcal{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}M]M] - \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}M]M]M] + \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

where $M = A - I$ and the series converge in \mathcal{B}_ν in norm.

Examples and extensions

- Positive definite invertible $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ admit a spectral causal factorization;

Examples and extensions

- Positive definite invertible $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ admit a spectral causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible Ψ DOs with some localization admit a spectral ϵ -causal factorization;

Examples and extensions

- Positive definite invertible $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ admit a spectral causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible Ψ DOs with some localization admit a spectral ϵ -causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible localized time-frequency shifts admit spectral causal factorizations w.r.t. half-planes;

Examples and extensions

- Positive definite invertible $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ admit a spectral causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible Ψ DOs with some localization admit a spectral ϵ -causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible localized time-frequency shifts admit spectral causal factorizations w.r.t. half-planes;
- Positive definite invertible Ψ DOs in Sjöstrand class admit spectral ϵ -causal factorizations w.r.t. half-planes.

Examples and extensions

- Positive definite invertible $A \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ admit a spectral causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible Ψ DOs with some localization admit a spectral ϵ -causal factorization;
- Positive definite invertible localized time-frequency shifts admit spectral causal factorizations w.r.t. half-planes;
- Positive definite invertible Ψ DOs in Sjöstrand class admit spectral ϵ -causal factorizations w.r.t. half-planes.

Idea of proof

- It is enough to solve equations

$$AX_+ = I + X_- \quad \text{and} \quad (I + Y_-)A = Y_+.$$

Idea of proof

- It is enough to solve equations

$$AX_+ = I + X_- \quad \text{and} \quad (I + Y_-)A = Y_+.$$

- Lift the equations to an H -valued Besicovitch space, where projections that correspond to \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} become orthogonal.

Idea of proof

- It is enough to solve equations

$$AX_+ = I + X_- \quad \text{and} \quad (I + Y_-)A = Y_+.$$

- Lift the equations to an H -valued Besicovitch space, where projections that correspond to \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} become orthogonal.
- Solve the lifted equations similar to [GL72].

Idea of proof

- It is enough to solve equations

$$AX_+ = I + X_- \quad \text{and} \quad (I + Y_-)A = Y_+.$$

- Lift the equations to an H -valued Besicovitch space, where projections that correspond to \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} become orthogonal.
- Solve the lifted equations similar to [GL72].
- Get back to the original equations.

Idea of proof

- It is enough to solve equations

$$AX_+ = I + X_- \quad \text{and} \quad (I + Y_-)A = Y_+.$$

- Lift the equations to an H -valued Besicovitch space, where projections that correspond to \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} become orthogonal.
- Solve the lifted equations similar to [GL72].
- Get back to the original equations.

The proof is shorter than [GL72] because we can use a non-commutative Wiener's Lemma.

References

- GF71 I. Ts. Gokhberg, I. A. Feldman, Convolution equations and projection methods for their solution, (Russian) Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow, 1971. 352 pp.
- GL72 I. Gohberg, Ju. Lařterer, The factorization of operator-functions relative to a contour. II. The canonical factorization of operator-functions that are close to the identity operator. (Russian) *Math. Nachr.* **54** (1972), 41–74.
- BK R. Balan and I. Krishtal, An Almost Periodic Noncommutative Wiener's Lemma, submitted (2008).