

# Non-commutative extensions of Wiener's Lemma in frame and time-frequency analysis

**Ilya Krishtal**

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Northern Illinois University

April 2, 2008

Seminar Talk, University of Utah, Salt Lake City

# Acknowledgements

- Akram Aldroubi, Vanderbilt;

# Acknowledgements

- Akram Aldroubi, Vanderbilt;
- Radu Balan, University of Maryland;

# Acknowledgements

- Akram Aldroubi, Vanderbilt;
- Radu Balan, University of Maryland;
- Anatoly Baskakov, Voronezh State University;

# Acknowledgements

- Akram Aldroubi, Vanderbilt;
- Radu Balan, University of Maryland;
- Anatoly Baskakov, Voronezh State University;
- Kasso Okoudjou, University of Maryland;

## Acknowledgements

- Akram Aldroubi, Vanderbilt;
- Radu Balan, University of Maryland;
- Anatoly Baskakov, Voronezh State University;
- Kasso Okoudjou, University of Maryland;
- Thomas Strohmer, University of California, Davis.

## Acknowledgements

- Akram Aldroubi, Vanderbilt;
- Radu Balan, University of Maryland;
- Anatoly Baskakov, Voronezh State University;
- Kasso Okoudjou, University of Maryland;
- Thomas Strohmer, University of California, Davis.

# Outline

- 1 Some History
- 2 Some Abstract Results
- 3 Some Less Abstract Results
  - Frame Localization and Sampling
  - Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT
  - Causal Factorization of pseudodifferential operators
- 4 A Few References

# History I

## Theorem (Wiener, 1932)

*If a periodic function  $f$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and never vanishes then the function  $1/f$  also has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.*

# History I

## Theorem (Wiener, 1932)

*If a periodic function  $f$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and never vanishes then the function  $1/f$  also has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.*

Alternative formulation in the language of matrices.

# History I

## Theorem (Wiener, 1932)

*If a periodic function  $f$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and never vanishes then the function  $1/f$  also has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.*

Alternative formulation in the language of matrices.

## Theorem

*If  $A$  is an invertible Laurent matrix with summable diagonals then the matrix  $A^{-1}$  also has summable diagonals.*

# History II

1940–60 S. Bochner, I. Gel'fand, L.H. Loomis, R.S. Phillips...

# History II

1940–60 S. Bochner, I. Gel'fand, L.H. Loomis, R.S. Phillips...

1960–85 G.R. Allan, S. Demko, I. Gohberg, J. Leiterer, M.A. Shubin...

# History II

- 1940–60 S. Bochner, I. Gel'fand, L.H. Loomis, R.S. Phillips. . .
- 1960–85 G.R. Allan, S. Demko, I. Gohberg, J. Leiterer, M.A. Shubin. . .
- 1985–99 A.G. Baskakov, I. Blatov, I. Gohberg, S. Jaffard,  
V.G. Kurbatov, M. Kaaschoek, J. Sjöstrand,  
H. Woerderman. . .

## History II

- 1940–60 S. Bochner, I. Gel'fand, L.H. Loomis, R.S. Phillips. . .
- 1960–85 G.R. Allan, S. Demko, I. Gohberg, J. Leiterer, M.A. Shubin. . .
- 1985–99 A.G. Baskakov, I. Blatov, I. Gohberg, S. Jaffard,  
V.G. Kurbatov, M. Kaaschoek, J. Sjöstrand,  
H. Woerderman. . .
- 2000–08 R. Balan, P. Casazza, C. Heil, K. Gröchenig, Z. Landau,  
M. Leinert, K. Okoudjou, Q. Sun . . .

## History II

- 1940–60 S. Bochner, I. Gel'fand, L.H. Loomis, R.S. Phillips. . .
- 1960–85 G.R. Allan, S. Demko, I. Gohberg, J. Leiterer, M.A. Shubin. . .
- 1985–99 A.G. Baskakov, I. Blatov, I. Gohberg, S. Jaffard,  
V.G. Kurbatov, M. Kaaschoek, J. Sjöstrand,  
H. Woerderman. . .
- 2000–08 R. Balan, P. Casazza, C. Heil, K. Gröchenig, Z. Landau,  
M. Leinert, K. Okoudjou, Q. Sun . . .

# Notation

- $\mathcal{B}$  – a unital Banach algebra.

# Notation

- $\mathcal{B}$  – a unital Banach algebra.
- $End\mathcal{B}$  – Banach algebra algebra of all endomorphisms (bounded linear operators) of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

# Notation

- $\mathcal{B}$  – a unital Banach algebra.
- $End\mathcal{B}$  – Banach algebra algebra of all endomorphisms (bounded linear operators) of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow End\mathcal{B}$  be an isometric representation of the group  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following properties:
  - $T(\gamma)I = I$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
  - $T(\gamma)(AB) = (T(\gamma)A)(T(\gamma)B)$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

# Notation

- $\mathcal{B}$  – a unital Banach algebra.
- $End\mathcal{B}$  – Banach algebra algebra of all endomorphisms (bounded linear operators) of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow End\mathcal{B}$  be an isometric representation of the group  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following properties:
  - $T(\gamma)I = I$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
  - $T(\gamma)(AB) = (T(\gamma)A)(T(\gamma)B)$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- $A \in AP^T(\mathcal{B})$  if the function  $\hat{A}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\hat{A}(\gamma) = T(\gamma)A$ , is continuous (in the topology of  $\mathcal{B}$ ) and Bohr almost periodic.

# Notation

- $\mathcal{B}$  – a unital Banach algebra.
- $End\mathcal{B}$  – Banach algebra algebra of all endomorphisms (bounded linear operators) of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow End\mathcal{B}$  be an isometric representation of the group  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following properties:
  - $T(\gamma)I = I$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
  - $T(\gamma)(AB) = (T(\gamma)A)(T(\gamma)B)$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- $A \in AP^T(\mathcal{B})$  if the function  $\hat{A}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\hat{A}(\gamma) = T(\gamma)A$ , is continuous (in the topology of  $\mathcal{B}$ ) and Bohr almost periodic.

$$\hat{A}(\gamma) \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma, j \rangle} A_j, \quad T(\gamma)A_j = e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma, j \rangle} A_j.$$

# Notation

- $\mathcal{B}$  – a unital Banach algebra.
- $End\mathcal{B}$  – Banach algebra algebra of all endomorphisms (bounded linear operators) of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow End\mathcal{B}$  be an isometric representation of the group  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following properties:
  - $T(\gamma)I = I$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
  - $T(\gamma)(AB) = (T(\gamma)A)(T(\gamma)B)$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- $A \in AP^T(\mathcal{B})$  if the function  $\hat{A}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\hat{A}(\gamma) = T(\gamma)A$ , is continuous (in the topology of  $\mathcal{B}$ ) and Bohr almost periodic.

$$\hat{A}(\gamma) \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma, j \rangle} A_j, \quad T(\gamma)A_j = e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma, j \rangle} A_j.$$

$$A_j = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2N)^d} \int_{[-N, N]^d} e^{-2\pi i \langle \gamma, j \rangle} T(-\gamma)A d\gamma.$$

## Notation - continued

- A *weight* is a function  $\nu : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  such that  $\nu(t + s) \leq \nu(t)\nu(s)$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## Notation - continued

- A *weight* is a function  $\nu : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  such that  $\nu(t + s) \leq \nu(t)\nu(s)$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- A weight is *admissible* if it satisfies the *GRS-condition*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln \nu(ng) = 0, \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

## Notation - continued

- A *weight* is a function  $\nu : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  such that  $\nu(t+s) \leq \nu(t)\nu(s)$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- A weight is *admissible* if it satisfies the *GRS-condition*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln \nu(ng) = 0, \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

### Definition

A *Baskakov algebra*  $AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B})$  is a subalgebra of  $AP^T(\mathcal{B})$  containing all elements with the Fourier series summable with weight  $\nu$ .

$$\|A\|_\nu = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{R}^d} \nu(j) \|A_j\| < \infty.$$

## Almost periodic noncommutative Wiener's Lemmae

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

*Let  $\nu$  be an admissible weight. Then the subalgebra  $AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$  is inverse closed, that is, if  $A \in AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B})$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $A^{-1} \in AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B})$ .*

## Almost periodic noncommutative Wiener's Lemmae

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

*Let  $\nu$  be an admissible weight. Then the subalgebra  $AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$  is inverse closed, that is, if  $A \in AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B})$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $A^{-1} \in AP_\nu^T(\mathcal{B})$ .*

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

*Let  $\nu_\rho(j) = e^{\rho|j|}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $A \in AP_{\nu_\rho}^T(\mathcal{B})$  be invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then there exists  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that  $A^{-1} \in AP_{\nu_{\bar{\rho}}}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .*

## Almost periodic noncommutative Wiener's Lemmae

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

Let  $\nu$  be an admissible weight. Then the subalgebra  $AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$  is inverse closed, that is, if  $A \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $A^{-1} \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

Let  $\nu_{\rho}(j) = e^{\rho|j|}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $A \in AP_{\nu_{\rho}}^T(\mathcal{B})$  be invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then there exists  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that  $A^{-1} \in AP_{\nu_{\bar{\rho}}}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

For every  $A \in AP_{\nu_{\rho}}^T(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1} \in AP_{\nu_{\bar{\rho}}}^T(\mathcal{B})$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(A)) \geq \varepsilon$ .

## Immediate Corollaries

### Corollary

Assume that  $A \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$  has a (Moore-Penrose) pseudoinverse  $A^{\#} \in \mathcal{B}$ .

- If  $\nu$  is admissible then  $A^{\#} \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .
- If  $\nu = \nu_{\rho}$  is an exponential weight, then there exists  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that  $A^{\#} \in AP_{\nu_{\bar{\rho}}}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .

## Immediate Corollaries

### Corollary

Assume that  $A \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$  has a (Moore-Penrose) pseudoinverse  $A^{\#} \in \mathcal{B}$ .

- If  $\nu$  is admissible then  $A^{\#} \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .
- If  $\nu = \nu_{\rho}$  is an exponential weight, then there exists  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that  $A^{\#} \in AP_{\nu_{\bar{\rho}}}^T(\mathcal{B})$ .

### Corollary

Assume that  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End} \ell^p$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is invertible. Assume also that the matrix of  $A$  has summable diagonals. Then this matrix defines invertible operators in  $\text{End} \ell^q$  for all  $q \in [1, \infty]$ .

## Related results

### Theorem (A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, IK)

*Under slightly stronger assumptions the previous corollary remains true if we replace 'invertible' with 'bounded below' and 'diagonals' with 'slanted lines'.*

## Related results

### Theorem (A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, IK)

*Under slightly stronger assumptions the previous corollary remains true if we replace 'invertible' with 'bounded below' and 'diagonals' with 'slanted lines'.*

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

*Assume that  $A \in AP^T(\mathcal{B})$  has finitely rationally independent Bohr spectrum. Then the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  in the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is invariant under rotations around the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

## Related results

### Theorem (A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, IK)

*Under slightly stronger assumptions the previous corollary remains true if we replace 'invertible' with 'bounded below' and 'diagonals' with 'slanted lines'.*

### Theorem (R. Balan, IK)

*Assume that  $A \in AP^T(\mathcal{B})$  has finitely rationally independent Bohr spectrum. Then the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  in the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is invariant under rotations around the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

### Theorem (IK, T. Strohmer)

*Let  $A \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$  be positive definite and invertible. Then  $A$  admits a spectral factorization  $A = L^*L$  such that  $L, L^* \in AP_{\nu}^T(\mathcal{B})$  and the Bohr spectra of  $L$  and  $L^{-1}$  are non-negative.*

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- Shift:  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$ ;

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- Shift:  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$ ;
- Modulation:  $M : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i \langle t, s \rangle} x(s)$ ;

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- Shift:  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$ ;
- Modulation:  $M : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i \langle t, s \rangle} x(s)$ ;
- Time-frequency Shift:  $U : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $U(t, s) = M(t)S(s)$ ;

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- Shift:  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$ ;
- Modulation:  $M : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i \langle t, s \rangle} x(s)$ ;
- Time-frequency Shift:  $U : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $U(t, s) = M(t)S(s)$ ;
- Representations on  $\text{End}\mathcal{B}$ :

$$T_S(t)A = S(t)AS(-t),$$

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- Shift:  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$ ;
- Modulation:  $M : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i \langle t, s \rangle} x(s)$ ;
- Time-frequency Shift:  $U : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $U(t, s) = M(t)S(s)$ ;
- Representations on  $\text{End}\mathcal{B}$ :

$$T_S(t)A = S(t)AS(-t), \quad T_M(t)A = M(t)AM(-t),$$

# Notation

- The algebra:  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- Shift:  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S(t)x(s) = x(s - t)$ ;
- Modulation:  $M : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $M(t)x(s) = e^{2\pi i \langle t, s \rangle} x(s)$ ;
- Time-frequency Shift:  $U : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $U(t, s) = M(t)S(s)$ ;
- Representations on  $\text{End}\mathcal{B}$ :

$$T_S(t)A = S(t)AS(-t), \quad T_M(t)A = M(t)AM(-t),$$

$$T_W(t, s)A = M(t)S(s)AS(-s)M(-t) = U(t, s)A(U(t, s))^{-1}.$$

# Frame Localization

## Definition

A  $p$ -frame in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(T, \nu)$ -localized if its frame operator is in  $AP_{\nu}^T$ .

# Frame Localization

## Definition

A  $p$ -frame in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(T, \nu)$ -localized if its frame operator is in  $AP_{\nu}^T$ .

## Theorem

*If  $\nu$  is an admissible weight, the canonical dual to a  $(T, \nu)$ -localized frame is also  $(T, \nu)$ -localized.*

# Frame Localization

## Definition

A  $p$ -frame in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(T, \nu)$ -localized if its frame operator is in  $AP_{\nu}^T$ .

## Theorem

*If  $\nu$  is an admissible weight, the canonical dual to a  $(T, \nu)$ -localized frame is also  $(T, \nu)$ -localized.*

## Corollary (IK, K. Okoudjou (Gabor frames, $T = T_M$ ))

*If a Gabor frame generator belongs to a Wiener Amalgam space then the canonical dual generator does as well.*

# Localized Frames and Sampling

Theorem (A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, IK)

*Under weak additional assumptions on  $\nu$  any  $(T, \nu)$ -localized frame is a Banach frame in  $L^q$  for all  $q \in [1, \infty]$ .*

## Localized Frames and Sampling

Theorem (A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, IK)

*Under weak additional assumptions on  $\nu$  any  $(T, \nu)$ -localized frame is a Banach frame in  $L^q$  for all  $q \in [1, \infty]$ .*

Theorem (A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, IK)

*A set of sampling for some  $p \in [1, \infty]$  remains a set of sampling for all  $q \in [1, \infty]$  if the sampling operator is sufficiently localized.*

# Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT

Let  $\mathfrak{U} = AP^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu = AP_\nu^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $T = T_W$ .

# Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT

Let  $\mathfrak{U} = AP^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu = AP_\nu^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $T = T_W$ .

## Proposition

- *Linear combinations of time-frequency shifts are dense in  $\mathfrak{U}$ ;*

# Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT

Let  $\mathfrak{U} = AP^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu = AP_\nu^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $T = T_W$ .

## Proposition

- *Linear combinations of time-frequency shifts are dense in  $\mathfrak{U}$ ;*
- *$\mathfrak{U}$  contains no proper  $C^*$ -ideals;*

# Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT

Let  $\mathfrak{U} = AP^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu = AP_\nu^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $T = T_W$ .

## Proposition

- *Linear combinations of time-frequency shifts are dense in  $\mathfrak{U}$ ;*
- *$\mathfrak{U}$  contains no proper  $C^*$ -ideals;*
- *There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{U}_{\nu_\rho}$  contains non-trivial idempotents.*

# Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT

Let  $\mathfrak{U} = AP^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu = AP_\nu^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $T = T_W$ .

## Proposition

- *Linear combinations of time-frequency shifts are dense in  $\mathfrak{U}$ ;*
- *$\mathfrak{U}$  contains no proper  $C^*$ -ideals;*
- *There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{U}_{\nu_\rho}$  contains non-trivial idempotents.*

## Proposition

Let  $A \in \text{End}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be such that

$$\Lambda(A) \subset \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})\}.$$

# Time-Frequency Shifts and HRT

Let  $\mathfrak{U} = AP^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu = AP_\nu^T(\text{End}L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $T = T_W$ .

## Proposition

- *Linear combinations of time-frequency shifts are dense in  $\mathfrak{U}$ ;*
- *$\mathfrak{U}$  contains no proper  $C^*$ -ideals;*
- *There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{U}_{\nu_\rho}$  contains non-trivial idempotents.*

## Proposition

Let  $A \in \text{End}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be such that

$$\Lambda(A) \subset \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})\}.$$

Then  $\sigma(A)$  has no isolated eigen-values.

# Causal Factorization of $\Psi$ DO

$\Psi$ DO:

$$(Ax)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma\left(\frac{s+t}{2}, \xi\right) e^{2\pi i(t-s)\cdot\xi} x(s) ds d\xi;$$

## Causal Factorization of $\Psi$ DO

$\Psi$ DO:

$$(Ax)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma\left(\frac{s+t}{2}, \xi\right) e^{2\pi i(t-s)\cdot\xi} x(s) ds d\xi;$$

$\Psi$ DO in  $AP^T$ ,  $T = T_M$ :  $(Ax)(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{R}} f_s(t)x(t-s)$ .

## Causal Factorization of $\Psi$ DO

$\Psi$ DO:

$$(Ax)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma\left(\frac{s+t}{2}, \xi\right) e^{2\pi i(t-s)\cdot\xi} x(s) ds d\xi;$$

$\Psi$ DO in  $AP^T$ ,  $T = T_M$ :  $(Ax)(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{R}} f_s(t)x(t-s)$ .

Theorem (IK, T. Strohmer)

*If a  $\Psi$ DO  $A$  in the Sjöstrand's class  $\mathfrak{S}$  satisfies  $\|A - I\|_{\mathfrak{S}} < 1$ , then  $A$  admits canonical causal factorization within  $\mathfrak{S}$ .*

# Causal Factorization of $\Psi$ DO

$\Psi$ DO:

$$(Ax)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma\left(\frac{s+t}{2}, \xi\right) e^{2\pi i(t-s)\cdot\xi} x(s) ds d\xi;$$

$\Psi$ DO in  $AP^T$ ,  $T = T_M$ :  $(Ax)(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{R}} f_s(t)x(t-s)$ .

Theorem (IK, T. Strohmer)

*If a  $\Psi$ DO  $A$  in the Sjöstrand's class  $\mathfrak{S}$  satisfies  $\|A - I\|_{\mathfrak{S}} < 1$ , then  $A$  admits canonical causal factorization within  $\mathfrak{S}$ . If in addition  $A \in AP_1^T$ , then it is enough to have  $\|A - I\|_{\mathfrak{B}} < 1$ .*

## References

- ABK A. Aldroubi, A. Baskakov, and I. Krishtal, Slanted matrices, Banach frames, and sampling, submitted (2007).
- BK R. Balan and I. Krishtal, An almost periodic noncommutative Wiener's Lemma, submitted (2008).
- KO I. Krishtal and K. Okoudjou, Invertibility of the Gabor frame operator on the Wiener amalgam space, submitted (2007).

The papers are available via <http://www.math.niu.edu/~krishtal/> or from [ArXiv](#).